CREST SECURITY REVIEW AUTUMN 2021

MICHELE GROSSMAN

HOW HAS COVID-19 CHANGED THE VIOLENT EXTREMIST LANDSCAPE?

Coronavirus has highlighted how anxiety, uncertainty, and the reordering of democratic state-citizen relations can breed susceptibility to violent extremist thinking and action.

THE NOT-SO-NEW NEW WORLD ORDER

The COVID-19 pandemic has upended the normative social order of democratic societies in profound ways: lockdowns, public health mandates, a range of restrictions on movement and behaviour, and the rapid development of new-generation vaccines. This disruption has occurred amid an environment of risk and uncertainty that threatens peoples' sense of security, stability, and resilience. The rise of pandemic-led conspiracy thinking has therefore been predictable.

There is a well-established relationship between conspiracy narratives and the sense of threat, particularly concerning system identity threat, or the view that society is fundamentally changing. QAnon influencers, for example, quickly harnessed their conspiracy movement's anti-government, 'Deep State' narrative of corrupt, shadowy elites to fit with how states around the world were responding to the pandemic's public health threats.

However, QAnon's dark prophesies of a New World Order that would upend civilisation is not new, drawing together a pastiche of familiar, pre-existing militant narratives based on anti-Semitism, white nationalism, anti-vaccination, and anti-technology discourse.

Some of these older militant narratives have long been associated with violent action against minorities and violent resistance to the state. It is, therefore, unsurprising that the rise of pandemicinspired conspiracist movements has been escalated and capitalised on by violent extremist movements across the board.

Europol has warned that COVID-19 will continue to escalate violent extremist threats in various countries, increasing tolerance for violence in response to pandemic-induced stressors. This runs alongside evidence that ideologically diverse violent extremist networks are exploiting pandemic-related vulnerabilities through online propaganda and recruitment efforts.

As our AVERT Research Network submission to Australia's parliamentary inquiry on extremist movements and radicalism argues, the extension of government authority and curtailing of individual liberties during a public health emergency have been consistently reframed by extremists as instruments of social control, government corruption, and state illegitimacy, accelerating what Ehud Sprinzak (1991) terms the 'transformational delegitimation' of democratic societies and institutions.

NEW GATEWAYS TO VIOLENT EXTREMISM

While QAnon influencers were predictably nimble in exploiting gateway online anti-child abuse and exploitation networks to grow their impact, the pandemic-inspired intersection of lifestyle and wellness, violent extremism, and conspiracy networks (Khalil, 2021) has been more novel.

In Australia, the former chef and dietary wellness influencer Pete Evans posted the neo-Nazi 'sonnenrad' or 'black sun' swastika for his many online followers, a symbol appropriated by the Nazis to signal the rebirth of Aryanism. Other wellness influencers have also energetically sought to monetise the surge of interest in anti-authority conspiracies by promoting product-based resistance to public health measures.

THE WEAPONISATION OF COVID-19

The promotion of conspiracies and disinformation can be understood as a form of attack (CRIS, 2021). For those who seek to escalate violent conflict, accelerate civil unrest, and enhance social and political polarisation, COVID-19 has been a swiftly weaponised gift, for example, by encouraging followers to deliberately spread COVID-19 as a means of hastening the collapse of civilisation or the elimination of hated others. These efforts have been significantly aided by the shift to extensive online social interaction as well as information-gathering to make sense of the upheaval.

"For those who seek to escalate violent conflict, accelerate civil unrest, and enhance social and political polarisation, COVID-19 has been a swiftly weaponised gift"

This is particularly the case for young people who are arguably bearing a disproportionate pandemic-related burden in terms of disrupted schooling, dwindling or precarious employment, isolation from face-to-face culturally diversified social settings, and mental health and housing challenges (Lowe, 2021). Under these circumstances, the vulnerability of young people – already a generation of digital natives – to the online social harms of violent extremist conspiratorial ideologies can intensify.



ADDRESSING THE INTERSECTION OF COVID-19 AND EXTREMIST IDEOLOGIES

A key question raised by the impact of the pandemic on drivers toward violent extremism is whether these impacts are likely to be acute or chronic.

Will the cessation or moderation of the pandemic, driven by increased global vaccination rates and the restoring of individual liberties and movement, see extremist conspiracy uptake subside? Or will the longer-term social, economic, and political impacts of the pandemic, which may well outlast the immediate public health crisis, provide fertile ground for continuing political and social polarisation that extremists can channel toward violent action?

"Will the cessation or moderation of the pandemic see extremist conspiracy uptake subside? Or will COVID-19's longer-term impacts provide fertile ground that extremists can channel toward violence?"

While we may not be able to answer this question yet, we should be prepared for both scenarios. A key response for policymakers is to recognise and address:

- 1. The role that conspiratorial thinking plays in processes of radicalisation
- 2. The emergence of conspiracist movements as critical extremist actors (AVERT, 2021)
- 3. Whether strategies for inoculating or 'pre-bunking' against conspiracist-extremist appeals might be effective (Banas and Miller, 2013; Braddock, 2019).

Our approach needs to become part of, but also go beyond, preventing violent extremism (PVE) strategy and programming. Policy settings need to redress:

- The post-truth environment in which conspiracist thinking flourishes
- The economic inequalities that fuel its potency
- The social divisions that nurture its narratives
- The technological affordances that drive its dissemination

All of these are critical areas of investment in mitigating how violent extremist movements can weaponise COVID-19.

Michele Grossman is Professor and Research Chair in Diversity and Community Resilience, Convenor of the AVERT Research Network and Director of the Centre for Resilient and Inclusive Societies at Deakin University in Australia. Her research focuses on how to build resilience to and engage communities in preventing violent extremism

/.